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# Methodology

Provide details on the methodology applied towards the data mining analysis undertaken, providing rationale for these steps.

This should detail how you went from the raw data provided to the chosen model(s), choice of model, and how this methodology helps address the problem domain.

Evidence to support the following of this methodology should be presented, especially any cases which required moving backwards in the process to readdress issues.

**Data Understanding**

**Data Cleaning**

In order to start creating models, it is crucial to make sure there are no invalid entries in the data set to avoid inaccurate results when making decisions.

When reading the .csv document, we need to make sure that each white space is read as a ‘NaN’ value as otherwise it will be counted as an actual entry.

To start with the data cleaning, we first need to find the unique values for each column to identify invalid entries, duplicate entries and even spelling mistakes. Next step is to count how many records have ‘NaN values in order to calculate the impact of those records in order to make a decision to whether replace the ‘NaN’ values or to drop the records that contain them.

When we identify all the unique values from different columns, we also find two ‘Unknown’ entries in the ‘label’ column. Since this entry does not relate to the rest of the entries, it is better to replace the value to a ‘NaN’ value just as we replaced the white space value.

It is also worth noticing that there is a spelling mistake in the ‘Indication’ column. This column contains 5 unique values (excluding the ‘NaN’ values) for which ‘ASx’ and ‘Asx’ are repeated. Since the values are the same but entered in different capitals, it is best to opt for a replacement to all capital ‘ASX’ just as the rest of the values in the ‘Indication’ column.

# Results

Results should include tables showing model performance with appropriately selected metrics. No rationale should be provided for this section - simply results of evaluative processes.

If using modified variants of the dataset, these should be clearly identified in the tables with appropriate naming. The justification and description of modification is not for this section.

Additional figures may be used as appropriate, in support of discussion points in the Evaluation & Discussion section, or as evidence for methodology following above.

# Evaluation & Discussion

Evaluation methodology used for generating the results provided in the previous section. How were these evaluated? Why was this selected? What metrics were used and why?

Discussion of the results should be presented with appropriate evidence and rationale. E.g Which is the best model, and why?

Consider each stage in the methodology, and reflect on any improvements which could have been made. Could any techniques have been used which may have improved performance? Why?
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